Jim Stolley	DETAINED?
Chief Counsel	
Anna Donnell	
Assistant Chief Counsel	
U.S. Department of Homeland Security	☐ YES
U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement	
1 Federal Drive, Suite 1800	⊠ NO
Fort Snelling, MN 55111	

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW IMMIGRATION COURT FORT SNELLING, MINNESOTA

In Removal Proceedings	In	the	Matter	of:		File No.: A
	In Ro		Proceeding	gs		

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY'S MOTION TO DISMISS WITHOUT PREJUDICE

The U.S. Department of Homeland Security (Department) moves to dismiss removal proceedings, without prejudice, in the exercise of its sole and unreviewable prosecutorial discretion. Specifically, the Department has reviewed the facts and circumstances of the case and determined that circumstances after issuance of the Notice to Appear have changed to such an extent that continuation is no longer in the best interest of the government. 8 C.F.R. §§ 1239.2(c), 239.2(a)(7) and (c).

Dismissal pursuant to this motion is without prejudice and does not constitute a final judgment rendered on the merits of any issue in these proceedings. See 8 C.F.R. § 1239.2(c) (providing that dismissal "shall be without prejudice" to the noncitizen or the Department). As appropriate, the Department may, in the exercise of its sole and unreviewable prosecutorial discretion, subsequently seek the respondents' removal, potentially in new removal proceedings.

WHEREFORE, for the reasons stated above, the Department requests this Court grant its motion to dismiss without prejudice.

Respectfully submitted on the 12th day of May 2022.

ANNA G Digitally signed by ANNA G DONNELL Date: 2022.05.12 12:03:52 -05'00'

Anna Donnell
Assistant Chief Counsel

The Department recognizes that the Immigration Court Practice Manual recommends that the party filing a motion "make a good faith effort to ascertain the opposing party's position on the motion" and that a "description of the efforts made to contact opposing counsel" be included if the filing party is unable to ascertain the opposing party's position. EOIR Policy Manual, Part II, Chapter II.5.2(i) (Feb. 14, 2022). However, this unilateral motion to dismiss is based on the Department's determination that this case is not a priority for enforcement and assessment that continuation of the proceedings is therefore "no longer in the best interest of the government." 8 C.F.R. § 239.2(a)(7); see 8 C.F.R. § 1239.2(c). Respectfully, the respondents are simply not in a position to opine as to the Department's enforcement priority assessments. In addition, obtaining the respondents' concurrence, or that of the respondents' legal representative, prior to filing this motion would generally require the expenditure of more effort than the preparation, service, and filing of the motion itself. Accordingly, the Department respectfully requests this Court afford the respondents the requisite 10 days to respond to this motion to dismiss pursuant to EOIR Policy Manual, Part II, Chapter II.3.1(b)(1)(A)–(2)(B) (Feb. 14, 2022). See also EOIR Policy Manual, App. C (Dec. 16, 2021).

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW IMMIGRATION COURT BLOOMINGTON, MINNESOTA

In the Matter of:	~	A Number:

ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

	GRANTED DENIED because:
	DHS does not oppose the motion. The respondent does not oppose the motion. A response to the motion has not been filed with the court. Good cause has been established for the motion. The court agrees with the reasons stated in the opposition to the motion. The motion is untimely per Other:
Deadlines:	
	The application(s) for relief must be filed by The respondent must comply with DHS biometrics instructions by
L	The respondent must comply with DHS biometrics instructions by
Date	The respondent must comply with DHS biometrics instructions by Immigration Judge

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

On May 12, 2022, the undersigned placed in the office outgoing mail to be sent via First Class United States mail a complete copy of this motion to:



Submitted,